

Unit - 4

Introduction:


- Soils are subjected to stresses due to loads from foundations of various shapes and self weight of soil.
- The theory of elasticity is the basis of determination of stresses in soil mass due to loads from foundations of various shapes.
- For elastic theory to be applicable there must be a constant ratio between stresses induced and corresponding strain.
- It is not necessary that the soil be truly elastic; only the ratio of stress to strain should be constant. So elastic theory can be used in case of non-elastic soil masses subjected to small order to stresses.
- Fortunately, the actual magnitude transmitted to soil from structural loading falls within this range.
- Hence the elastic theory gives valid results.
- Stress at any point 'P' in the soil mass due to point load over the surface at point 'O' can be computed using
 - (a) Boussinesq's theory
 - (b) Westergaard's theory

(a) Boussinesq's theory:

Assumptions:


- Soil is assumed to be homogeneous, isotropic, semi-infinite and elastic.
- Hooke's law is valid.
- Self weight of soil is neglected.
- Soil is initially unstressed.
- Any change in the volume of the soil due to the application of the load is neglected i.e., soil is incompressible.

- The top surface of the soil is free from any shear stress and is subjected to only point load.
- Distribution of the stresses is symmetric along the vertical axis.
- Continuity of stress exists in the medium.



Where $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$

$$R = \sqrt{r^2 + z^2} = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$$



The Boussinesq's equations are as follows:

1) Vertical stress, $\sigma_z = K_B \frac{Q}{z^2}$

where K_B = Boussinesq influence factor

$$= \frac{3}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{r}{z}\right)^2} \right]^{5/2}$$

K_B is a number and is a function of r/z ratio.

2) Shear stress, $\tau_{rz} = \frac{3Q}{2\pi} \frac{rz^2}{[r^2 + z^2]^{5/2}} = \sigma_z \cdot \frac{r}{z}$

3) Radial stress, $\sigma_r = \frac{Q}{2\pi} \left[\frac{32r^2}{R^5} - \frac{(1-2\mu)}{R(R+z)} \right]$


where μ = Poisson's ratio

Note: The intensity of vertical stress directly below the point load on its axis of loading is given by where $r=0$

$$\text{i.e., } \sigma_z = \frac{3Q}{2\pi z^2} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{r}{z}\right)^2} \right]_{r=0}^{5/2}$$
$$= 0.4775 \frac{Q}{z^2}$$

Vertical stress distribution diagrams:


Vertical stress isobar diagram:



- Stress Isobars is a curve (by contour) joining the points of equal vertical pressure in the soil mass.
- Since the vertical stress on a given horizontal plane is the same in all directions at points located at equal radial distances from the axis of loading.
- This isobars is spatial curved surface and resembles a onion bulb in shape, hence it is also called pressure bulb.
- With the increasing of depth, vertical stress reduces. Hence, generally effect of vertical stress beyond the zone of $0.2Q$ isobars is neglected.

Note: The depth at which vertical stress becomes 20% of applied load, means $0.2Q$, that depth is called seat of settlement. This is also a criteria of determining depth of exploration.

2) Vertical stress distribution diagram on horizontal Plane:



→ Vertical pressure distribution diagram on the horizontal plane at a constant depth of 'z' can be analyzed by using the vertical pressure given by Boussinesq theory.

$$\text{i.e., } \sigma_z = K_B \frac{Q}{z^2}$$


→ σ_z is maximum occurs just below the load i.e., $r=0$, which is equals to

$$\sigma_z = 0.477 \frac{Q}{z^2}$$

→ Vertical stress distribution diagram on a horizontal plane is bell-shaped. The maximum stress is just below the line of action of the concentrated load and stress decrease is asymptotic.

r/z	K_B	σ_z	Description
0	0.4775	$0.4775 \frac{Q}{z^2}$	σ_{\max}
0.5	0.2733	$0.2733 \frac{Q}{z^2}$	57.24% of σ_{\max}
1	0.0844	$0.0844 \frac{Q}{z^2}$	14.67% of σ_{\max}
2	0.0085	$0.0085 \frac{Q}{z^2}$	1.78% of σ_{\max}
1.52	0.0239	$0.0239 \frac{Q}{z^2}$	5% of σ_{\max}

→ If $Q=1$ (Unity), the diagram is known as influence diagram for vertical stress at any point.



Stress on a Vertical Plane

→ Vertical pressure on the vertical line first increases with increase in depth and reaches upto its maximum value and starts decreasing beyond it with further increase in depth.

→ maximum vertical pressure on vertical line occurs when the angle B made by the polar ray attains a value of $39^{\circ}15'53.3''$ from the point load.

$$\text{for } \sigma_z \text{, max} \quad \frac{\partial \sigma_z}{\partial z} = 0$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[\frac{3Q}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{z^3}{(z^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} \right] = 0$$

$$\text{or, } \frac{(z^2 + r^2)^{5/2} \cdot 3z^2 - (z^3)^{5/2} (z^2 + r^2)^{3/2} \cdot 2z}{(z^2 + r^2)^5} = 0$$

$$\text{or, } 6z^2(z^2 + r^2)^{5/2} - 10z^4(z^2 + r^2)^{3/2} = 0$$

$$\text{OR } 2z^2(z^2+r^2) - [3(z^2+r^2) - 5z^2] = 0$$

$$\text{OR, } 2z^2(z^2+r^2)^{3/2} (3r^2-2z^2) = 0$$

$$\therefore 3r^2 - 2z^2 = 0$$

$$\text{OR, } \frac{r}{z} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} = \tan \beta$$

$$\therefore \beta = 34^\circ 13' 53.5''.$$

NOW

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{z,\max} &= \frac{r}{2} = \frac{3}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{1 + (\frac{r}{z})^2} \right]^{5/2} \frac{\phi}{z^2} \\ &= \frac{3}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{1 + (2/3)} \right]^{5/2} \cdot \frac{\phi}{\frac{3}{2} r^2} \\ &= 0.0888 \frac{\phi}{r^2}\end{aligned}$$

Shear stress, where $\tau_{z,\max}$ occurs, $\tau_r = \tau_z \cdot \frac{r}{z}$

$$\begin{aligned}&= 0.0888 \times \frac{\phi}{r^2} \times \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &= \frac{\phi}{r^2}\end{aligned}$$

Problem-1:

Laboratory results on a soil have shown that its unconfined compressive strength is 1.2 kg/cm^2 . In a tri-axial compression test a specimen of the soil when subjected to a chamber pressure of 0.4 kg/cm^2 failed at an additional stress of 1.6 kg/cm^2 . Estimate the shearing strength of the same soil along a horizontal plane at a depth of 4m in a deposit. The ground water table is at a depth of 2.5m from the ground level. Take dry unit weight of soil as 1.7 gm/cc and specific gravity as 2.7.

Sol: The unconfined compression test is also a sort of triaxial test, in which minor principal stress (σ_3) is zero. The unconfined

We know that

$$\tau_1 = \tau_3 + \tan^2(45^\circ + \frac{\phi}{2}) + 2c + \tan(45^\circ + \frac{\phi}{2})$$

where ϕ is angle of internal friction and c is cohesion.

Given $\tau_1 = 1.2 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ and $\tau_3 = 0$

$$\therefore 1.2 = 0 + \tan^2(45^\circ + \frac{\phi}{2}) + 2c + \tan(45^\circ + \frac{\phi}{2})$$

$$c = \frac{0.6}{\tan(45^\circ + \frac{\phi}{2})} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Triaxial test

$$\tau_3 = 0.4 \text{ kg/cm}^2 \text{ and } \tau_d = 1.6 \text{ kg/cm}^2$$

$$\therefore \tau = \tau_3 + \tau_d = 0.4 + 1.6 = 2 \text{ kg/cm}^2$$

then $\tau_1 = \tau_3 + \tan^2(45^\circ + \frac{\phi}{2}) + 2c + \tan(45^\circ + \frac{\phi}{2})$

$$\Rightarrow 2 = 0.4 + \tan^2(45^\circ + \frac{\phi}{2}) + 2 \times \frac{0.6}{\tan(45^\circ + \frac{\phi}{2})} \times \tan(45^\circ + \frac{\phi}{2})$$

$$\tan^2(45^\circ + \frac{\phi}{2}) = \frac{2 - 1.2}{0.4}$$

$$\tan(45^\circ + \frac{\phi}{2}) = \sqrt{2}$$

$$\phi = 19.47^\circ$$

Putting $\phi = 19.47^\circ$ in eq (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{we get } c &= \frac{0.6}{\tan(45^\circ + \frac{19.47^\circ}{2})} \\ &= 0.4243 \text{ kg/cm}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{But } \gamma = c + \bar{\sigma} \tan \phi$$

Where γ is the shear strength of soil and $\bar{\sigma}$ is the effective pressure.

Effective pressure, $\sigma = r_{dry} \times 2.5 + r_{sub} \times 1.5$

Given, $r_{dry} = 1.7 \text{ gm/cc}$, $G = 2.7$

We know that $r_{dry} = \frac{Gr_w}{1+e}$

$$1.7 = \frac{2.7 \times 1}{1+e}$$

$$e = 1.588 - 1$$

$$e = 0.588$$

and $r_{sub} = \left(\frac{G-1}{1+e} \right) r_w$

$$= \left(\frac{2.7-1}{1+0.588} \right) \times 1$$

$$= 1.07 \text{ gm/cc}$$

$$\therefore \bar{\sigma} = (1.7 \times 250) + (1.07 \times 150) \text{ g/cm}^2$$

$$= 585.5 \text{ g/cm}^2$$

$$= 0.5855 \text{ kg/cm}^2$$

$$= 0.586 \text{ kg/cm}^2$$

Shear strength of soil, $\tau = c + \bar{\sigma} \tan \phi$

$$= 0.4243 + 0.586 \tan 19.47^\circ$$

$$= 0.63 \text{ kg/cm}^2$$

Assumptions:

- medium is assumed to be homogeneous, semi-infinite, elastic & non-isotropic.
- Here, medium is assumed to be laterally reinforced with fibres of negligible thickness i.e., medium is considered to be rigid horizontally and elastic vertically. It means no lateral deformations but only vertical deformations.

Note: Since there is no lateral strain. Hence Poisson's ratio in horizontal direction,

$$\mu = \frac{\epsilon_{\text{lat}}}{\epsilon_{\text{log}}} = 0$$

Young's modulus in horizontal direction will be

$$E_H = \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_{\text{lat}}} = \infty$$

Here the ratio of young's modulus in horizontal to vertical direction tends to infinity

$$f = \frac{E_H}{E_V} = \infty$$

→ Westergaard equation for vertical stress for a point load, for a Poisson's ratio value equal to zero is given by

$$\sigma_z = K_w \frac{\phi}{z^2}$$

Where K_w = Westergaard's influence factor

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{1 + 2 \left(\frac{r}{z} \right)^2} \right]^{3/2}$$

$\rightarrow \sigma_{z,\max}$ occurs when z is constant and r is zero i.e.

$\frac{r}{z} = 0$, then

$$K_W = -\frac{1}{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{1+2\left(\frac{r}{z}\right)^2} \right]^{3/2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{1+2 \times 0} \right]^{3/2}$$

$$= 0.3183$$

$$\sigma_{z,\max} = 0.3183 \frac{\phi}{z^2}$$

\rightarrow It is to be noted that at $\frac{r}{z} = 1.52$, both the theories gives equal values

$$\sigma_{LW, \text{Bouss}} = \sigma_{z, \text{Westergaard}}$$


$$K_B \frac{\phi}{z^2} = K_W \frac{\phi}{z^2}$$

$$\frac{3}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{r}{z}\right)^2} \right]^{5/2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{1+2\left(\frac{r}{z}\right)^2} \right]^{3/2}$$

$$\frac{9}{4} \left[\frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{r}{z}\right)^2} \right]^5 = \left[\frac{1}{1+2\left(\frac{r}{z}\right)^2} \right]^3$$

$$\frac{r}{z} = 1.52$$

Comparison between boussinesq and westergaard theories:



$$\rightarrow \text{if } \frac{r}{z} < 1.52 \quad K_B > K_W$$

$$\frac{r}{z} = 1.52 \quad K_B = K_W$$

$$\frac{r}{z} \geq 1.52 \quad K_B < K_W$$

Note: Results obtained by Westergaard's theory are more realistic than Boussinesq's theory but in the range where stresses are significant. Results obtained by Boussinesq's theory are more conservative than that of Westergaard's theory. Hence, Boussinesq's theory is practically used for the computation of vertical stresses in the soil mass.

Problem-1:

A concentrated load of 2500KN is applied at the ground surface. Determine the vertical stress at Point P which is at a depth of 5m but at a horizontal distance of 4m from the axis of load. Also calculate the percentage increase in stress when the point P is directly below the load at same depth of 5m. Use Boussinesq's theory.

Sol: Case-1:

Given $r=4\text{m}$, $z=5\text{m}$

$$\text{using } \sigma_z = \frac{3Q}{2\pi z^2} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{r}{z}\right)^2} \right]^{5/2}$$

$$\sigma_p = \frac{3 \times 2500}{2\pi \times (5)^2} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^2} \right]^{5/2}$$

$$= 13.86 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

Case - II: Here r becomes zero.

$$\sigma_p = \frac{3Q}{2\pi r^2} \left[\frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{r}{z}\right)^2} \right]^{5/2}$$


$$= 47.75 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

% increase in stress, $\Delta\sigma = \frac{47.75 - 13.86}{13.86} \times 100$

$$= 244.5\%$$

Problem-2:

Two columns A and B are situated 6m apart. Column A transfers a load of 500KN and column B, a load of 250KN. Determine the resultant vertical stress on a horizontal plane, 20m below the ground surface at point vertically below the points B.



Sol: Effect of 500 KN load at point 'P':

$$Q = 500 \text{ KN}, r = 6 \text{ m}, z = 20 \text{ m}$$

$$\therefore \sigma_1 = \frac{3Q}{2\pi z^2} \left[\frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{r}{z}\right)^2} \right]^{5/2}$$

$$= \frac{Q}{2\pi (20)^2} \left[\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{6}{20}\right)^2} \right]^2$$

$$= 0.481 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

Effect of 250KN Load at point 'p':

$$Q = 250, r = 0, z = 20 \text{ m}$$

$$\sigma_2 = 0.4775 \frac{Q}{z^2}$$

$$= 0.4775 \times \frac{250}{(20)^2}$$

$$= 0.2984 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

Resultant stress, $\tau = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}$

$$= \sqrt{0.481^2 + 0.2984^2}$$

$$= 0.7194 \text{ KN/m}^2$$


Problem-3:

A three legged tower forms an equilateral triangle in plane of side 3m, as shown in fig. If the total weight of the tower is 450KN and is equally carried by all it's legs, compute the increase in the vertical stress caused in the soil by the installation of the tower, at a depth of 4.5m directly below one of the leg of the tower.

Sol: The total weight of tower = 450KN
 The load can be approximated to a point load acting at all the legs


$$\therefore \text{Load on each leg} = \frac{450}{3}$$

$$= 150 \text{ KN}$$




NOW vertical stress at 4.5m below on of the leg (say below A) is caused by three point loads coming through the legs A, B and C.

figure shows two parallel line loads on the ground surface.
Determine the vertical stress at a point P as shown in figure.



Sol: The vertical stress at P can be obtained by super position.
Due to each line load the stresses at 'P' are computed separately
and then they are added to give the total stress due to the two line
loads that acts simultaneously on the ground surface. This is shown
in figure below:



i) Effect of 500KN/m on point 'P' ii) Effect of 1500KN/m on point 'P'.

Net stress on point 'P' will be $\sigma_z = \sigma_{z1} + \sigma_{z2}$

where $\sigma_{z1} = \frac{2q_1 z^3}{\pi(x_1^2 + z^2)^2} \Rightarrow \frac{2 \times 500 \times 4^3}{\pi(5^2 + 4^2)^2} \Rightarrow 12.12 \text{ KN/m}^2$

and


$$\sigma_z = \frac{-Pz}{\pi(r_2^2 + z^2)^2} \Rightarrow \frac{-12}{\pi(10^2 + 4^2)^2} \Rightarrow 4.54 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

$$\sigma_z = 12 + 4.54$$

$$\sigma_z = 16.66 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

2) vertical stress distribution below uniformly loaded circular area:

for a circular area of radius 'r' loaded uniformly with 'q'/unit area
the vertical stress at a depth 'z' is given as,



i) using boussinesq's theory:

$$\sigma_z = K \cdot q$$

$$\text{where } K = 1 - \cos^3 \alpha$$

$$\text{here } \cos \alpha = \frac{z}{\sqrt{r^2 + z^2}}$$

$$\text{Hence, } \sigma_z = \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{z^2}{r^2}} \right)^{3/2} \right] q$$

i) using westergaard theory:

$$\sigma_z = \left[1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{r}{nz} \right)^2}} \right] q$$

$$\text{where } n = \sqrt{\frac{1-2\mu}{2-2\mu}} \rightarrow \mu \text{ is being Poisson's ratio}$$

i) Vertical stress caused by load on leg A, below which the stress is computed.

Here, $Q = 150 \text{ KN}$, $r=0$, $z=4.5\text{m}$

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{21} &= \frac{3Q}{2\pi z^2} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{r}{z}\right)^2} \right]^{5/2} \\ &= \frac{3 \times 150}{2\pi (4.5)^2} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{0}{4.5}\right)^2} \right]^{5/2} \\ &= 3.54 \text{ KN/m}^2\end{aligned}$$


ii) vertical stress caused by load on either by the other two legs B and C.

Here $Q=150 \text{ KN}$, $r=3\text{m}$, $z=4.5\text{m}$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore \tau_{22} = \tau_{23} &= \frac{3 \times 150}{2\pi (4.5)^2} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{3}{4.5}\right)^2} \right]^{5/2} \\ &= 1.41 \text{ KN/m}^2\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore \tau_2 &= \tau_{21} + \tau_{22} + \tau_{23} \\ &= 3.54 + 1.41 + 1.41 \\ &= 6.36 \text{ KN/m}^2\end{aligned}$$

i) vertical stress due to a uniform line load:



→ For this two-dimensional problem, using the principles of elastic theory, the vertical stress σ_z at a point 'p' in the soil mass with coordinate x, z is given as


$$\sigma_z = \frac{2q}{\pi z} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{z} \right)^2} \right]$$

→ If point 'p' is just below the line load at a depth 'z' i.e., $x=0$ then

$$\sigma_z = \frac{2q}{\pi z}$$

Problem-1:

The infinite line load as shown in figure has an intensity of 600 KN/m. Determine the vertical stress at a point A with coordinates $x=5m$ and $z=4m$.



Sol: using equation,

$$\sigma_z = \frac{2q}{\pi z} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \frac{x^2}{z^2}} \right]^2$$

Substituting $q = 600 \text{ KN/m}$, $x = 5 \text{ m}$ and $z = 4 \text{ m}$, we get

$$\sigma_z = \frac{2 \times 600 \times 4^3}{\pi (5^2 + 4^2)}$$

$$\sigma_z = 14.54 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

A circular foundation rests on the horizontal upper surface of a semi-infinite soil mass, whose properties comply with the usual elasticity requirement and carries a load of 1000 kN. The contact pressure is uniform and the foundation is flexible. The base of foundation is frictionless. The diameter of the foundation is 3m.

Determine the vertical stress distribution on horizontal planes along the central axis of the foundation to a depth of 10m below the surface.

Sol: Total Load = 1000 kN

$$\text{Area of circular foundation} = \frac{\pi D^2}{4} = \frac{\pi \times 3^2}{4} = 7.069 \text{ m}^2$$

$$\therefore \text{Uniform load intensity, } q = \frac{1000}{7.069} = 141.47 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

The vertical stress caused by uniform load on circular area is given by


$$\sigma_z = \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{r^2}{z^2}} \right)^{3/2} \right] q$$

$$\text{Here, } q = 141.47 \text{ KN/m}^2, r = 1.5 \text{ m}, z = 10 \text{ m}$$

$$\sigma_z = \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{1.5}{10} \right)^2} \right)^{3/2} \right] \times 141.47$$

$$\sigma_z = 4.64 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

A loading of 50 KN/m^2 is acting on an annular foundation of width 5m and inside diameter of 10m. find the vertical stress intensity at a depth of 10m below the centre of the foundation.



$$\text{Sol: Here, } D_i = 10\text{m}; r_i = 5\text{m}$$


$$D_0 = 20\text{m}; r_0 = 10\text{m}$$

$$q = 50 \text{ KN/m}^2; z = 10\text{m}$$

In this case, entire area is not loaded to find vertical stress, assume whole area of radius r_0 is loaded by 50 KN/m^2 , whereas the circular area of radius 5m is also loaded with same intensity but opposite in nature. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_z &= q \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{r_0}{z} \right)^2} \right)^{3/2} \right] - q \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{r_i}{z} \right)^2} \right)^{3/2} \right] \\ &= 50 \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{10}{10} \right)^2} \right)^{3/2} \right] - 50 \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{5}{10} \right)^2} \right)^{3/2} \right] \\ &= 50 \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{3/2} \right] - 50 \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{1.25} \right)^{3/2} \right] \\ &= 50 [1 - 0.353] - 50 [1 - 0.716] \\ &= 32.32 - 14.22 \\ &= 18.10 \text{ KN/m}^2\end{aligned}$$

3) Vertical stress due to strip load:



→ The vertical stress at a point 'P' due to a uniform load of intensity 'q' on a strip of width 'B' and semi-infinite length is given by


$$\sigma_z = \frac{q}{\pi} [\theta + \sin \theta \cos 2\beta]$$

→ If the point 'P' is directly below the centre of the strip i.e., $\beta = 0$

$$\text{then } \sigma_z = \frac{q}{\pi} [\theta + \sin \theta]$$

Problem -1:

A 2m wide strip footing is to be placed as shown in figure below. Determine the vertical stress at a point 'A' shown in figure.



(Q1) The vertical stress at a point, which is directly below the centre of the strip is given by

$$\sigma_z = \frac{q}{\pi} (\theta + \sin \theta)$$

$$-\tan \frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$


$$\frac{\theta}{2} = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 26.56^\circ$$

$$\theta = 53.13^\circ (81) 0.927 \text{ radian}$$

$$\sigma_z = \frac{100}{\pi} (0.927 + \sin 53.13)$$

$$\sigma_z = 54.98 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

Q) Vertical pressure below the corner of uniformly loaded rectangular area:



→ Vertical stress at a point below the corner of a rectangular area loaded uniformly is given by Newmark's equation.

$$\sigma_z = K \cdot q$$

$$K = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{2mn(m^2+n^2+1)^{1/2}}{m^2+n^2+m^2n^2+1} \cdot \frac{(m^2+n^2+2)}{(m^2+n^2+1)} + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2mn(m^2+n^2+1)^{1/2}}{m^2+n^2-m^2n^2+1} \right) \right]$$


$$\text{Where } m = \frac{L}{z} \text{ and } n = \frac{B}{z}$$

→ As per Westergaard theory - assuming Poisson's ratio zero

$$\sigma_z = K \cdot q$$

$$\text{Where, } K = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\cot^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2m^2} + \frac{1}{2n^2} + \frac{1}{4m^2n^2} \right)^{1/2} \right]$$

calculate the intensity of stress at a point 'P' located as shown in fig at a depth of 2.5m due to a load of 2KN/m^2 over the footing area of $4\text{m} \times 5\text{m}$.



Sol: for area A_1 and A_3 :

$$m = \frac{L}{Z} = \frac{2}{2.5} = 0.80, \quad n = \frac{B}{Z} = \frac{2}{2.5} = 0.8$$

for area A_2 and A_4 :

$$m = \frac{L}{Z} = \frac{3}{2.5} = 1.20, \quad n = \frac{B}{Z} = \frac{2}{2.5} = 0.8$$

using boussinesq equation

$$K = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{2mn(m^2+n^2+1)^{1/2}}{(m^2+n^2+m^2n^2+1)} \cdot \frac{(m^2+n^2+2)}{(m^2+n^2+1)} + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2mn(m^2+n^2+1)^{1/2}}{m^2+n^2-m^2n^2+1} \right) \right]$$

$$K_1 = K_3 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{2 \times 0.8 \times 0.8 (0.8^2 + 0.8^2 + 1)^{1/2}}{0.8^2 + 0.8^2 + 0.8^2 \times 0.8^2 + 1} \cdot \frac{(0.8^2 + 0.8^2 + 2)}{(0.8^2 + 0.8^2 + 1)} + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2 \times 0.8 \times 0.8 (0.8^2 + 0.8^2 + 1)^{1/2}}{0.8^2 + 0.8^2 - 0.8^2 \times 0.8^2 + 1} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} (1.0329 + 45.94^\circ) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{4\pi} (1.0329 + 0.8021 \text{ rad.}) \Rightarrow 0.1461$$

$$K_2 = K_4 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{2 \times 1.20 \times 0.8 (1.20^2 + 0.8^2 + 1)^{1/2}}{1.20^2 + 0.8^2 + 1.20^2 \times 0.8^2 + 1} \cdot \frac{(1.20^2 + 0.8^2 + 2)}{(1.20^2 + 0.8^2 + 1)} + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2 \times 1.20 \times 0.8 (1.20^2 + 0.8^2 + 1)^{1/2}}{1.20^2 + 0.8^2 - 1.20^2 \times 0.8^2 + 1} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} [1.1154 + 57.35^\circ] \Rightarrow \frac{1}{4\pi} [1.1154 + 1.00 \text{ rad.}] \Rightarrow 0.1684$$

$$= (0.1461 + 0.1684 + 0.1461 + 0.1684) \times 250$$

$$= 0.629 \times 250$$

$$\sigma_z = 157.24 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

Using Westergaard's equation

$$K = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\cot^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2m^2} + \frac{1}{2n^2} + \frac{1}{4m^2n^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$

$$K_1 = K_3 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\cot^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2 \times 0.8^2} + \frac{1}{2 \times 0.8^2} + \frac{1}{4 \times 0.8^2 \times 0.8^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$

$$= 0.0948$$

$$K_2 = K_4 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\cot^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2 \times 1.20^2} + \frac{1}{2 \times 0.8^2} + \frac{1}{4 \times 1.20^2 \times 0.8^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$

$$= 0.1168$$


$$\sigma_z = (K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4) \cdot q$$

$$= (2 \times 0.0948 + 2 \times 0.1168) \times 250$$

$$\sigma_z = 105.8 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

Special case:

1) When Point 'P' is located inside the loaded area:




→ If point 'P' at which σ_z is required is located within the rectangular loaded area but not below any corner. Then the whole rectangular area is divided into four rectangles so that the point under consideration i.e., 'P' become the common corner for each rectangle.

→ One out of the four stresses thus found will be the vertical stress σ_z at a depth 'z' below the point 'P'.

$$\therefore \sigma_z = (K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4) q$$

2) When point 'P' is located outside the loaded area:



→ Let ABCD is loaded area for which the stress is required point 'P' below the depth 'z' as shown in figure.

→ Construct two imaginary rectangle $PC'A'A'$ and $PB'C'D'$ having common corner 'P' as shown in fig.


→ The required value is obtained by adding (or) subtracting their stress contribution

$$\text{Here, } \sigma_z = [K_{PA'B'B'} - K_{PA'A'C} - K_{PD'C'B} + K_{PD'D'C}] q$$

Problem-1:

A rectangular loaded area $6m \times 7.5m$ carries a load of 200KN/m^2 . Determine the vertical stress at point P located outside at a depth of 7.5m .

Sol:



$\Sigma = L \cdot A_{EPH} = B \cdot FPH = D \cdot PGI = L \cdot FPGI$

for rectangular area AEPH,

$$K = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{2mn(m^2+n^2+1)^{1/2}}{m^2+n^2+m^2n^2+1} \cdot \frac{(m^2+n^2+2)}{(m^2+n^2+1)} + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2mn(m^2+n^2+1)^{1/2}}{m^2+n^2-m^2n^2+1} \right) \right] - ①$$

$$m = \frac{L}{Z} = \frac{10.5}{7.5} = 1.40, \quad n = \frac{B}{Z} = \frac{7.5}{7.5} = 1$$

on substituting values of m, n we get

$$K_{AEPH} = 0.1914$$

for area BfPH,

$$m = \frac{L}{Z} = \frac{10.5}{7.5} = 1.40, \quad n = \frac{B}{Z} = \frac{1.5}{7.5} = 0.20$$

$$\therefore K_{BfPH} = 0.0589$$

for area DPGI,

$$m = \frac{L}{Z} = \frac{7.5}{7.5} = 1, \quad n = \frac{B}{Z} = \frac{3}{7.5} = 0.40$$

$$\therefore K_{DPGI} = 0.1013$$

for area CfPGI

$$m = \frac{L}{Z} = \frac{1.5}{7.5} = 0.2, \quad n = \frac{3}{7.5} = 0.40$$

$$\therefore K_{CfPGI} = 0.0328$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \Sigma = (0.1914 - 0.0589 + 0.1013 + 0.0328) \times 200 \\ = 12.8 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

Approximate methods for vertical stress computation:

i) Newmark's influence chart method:

→ In this method, soil is assumed to be homogeneous, semi-infinite, elastic and isotropic.

→ These charts are based on Boussinesq's equation so these charts are not applicable for stratified soils.

→ The greatest advantage of this method is that it can be

used for any shape of the loaded area

→ The influence chart consists of a no. of concentric circles and radial lines which divides it into different area units where influence of each area unit at the centre of the chart is same and is referred as INFLUENCE FACTOR

→ Generally, 10 concentric circles and 20 radial lines are considered which divides it into 200 area units.

$$\text{i.e., } \pi z = K_B \frac{\phi}{z^2}$$
$$I = \frac{1}{m \times n}$$

Where m = No. of concentric circles,

n = No. of radial lines

$$\text{Here, } I = \frac{1}{10 \times 20}$$
$$= \frac{1}{200}$$

→ In order to use the influence chart for the determination of vertical stresses at any point below a uniformly loaded area of any shape, the following steps are followed.

1) Draw the plan of the loaded area with a scale such that the depth z at which stress is being computed equals the length AB shown on the chart.




fig. Netmark influence chart for vertical stress at any depth $z = AB$

This plan of the loaded area is then kept on the influence chart such that point at which stress are required, coincides with the centre of the chart.


1 Count the number of area units covered by the plan area on the influence chart including the fractional ones

If I_f is influence factor, N_A = Total no. of area units occupied
the vertical stress is given by, $\sigma_z = I_f \times N_A \times q$

$$= \frac{1}{m \times n} \times N_A \times q$$

Problem - 1

A foundation is constructed to take a stress of 150 KN/m^2 and is flush with another existing foundation shown in figure taking a load of 100 KN/m^2 . find the vertical stress at a depth of 2m below the point D. use the Newmark's chart given in below figure with an influence value of 0.002



Considering the depth scale $AB=2\text{m}$, draw the loaded area of this scale with D at the centre of the chart. This is drawn and shown in above figure.

The number of stress blocks occupied by areas ABCD and EFGH are separately counted and given as

$$\text{No. of blocks in } ABCD = N_1 = 72$$

$$\text{No. of blocks in } EFGH = N_2 = 50$$


$$= N \cdot q_1 n_1 + q_2 n_2$$

$$= 0.002 (150 \times 72 + 100 \times 50)$$

$$= 31.6 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

Equivalent Load method:

- The given loaded area is divided into number of smaller units and total load from each unit is assumed to act at the centroid as a point load.
- Principle of superposition is then applied and the required stress at a specified point is obtained by summing up the contributions of the individual point loads from each of the units.




- If the influence values of $K_{B1}, K_{B2}, K_{B3}, K_{B4}$ for the point loads Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 and Q_4 . then

$$\Sigma Z = K_{B1} Q_1 + K_{B2} Q_2 + K_{B3} Q_3 + K_{B4} Q_4$$

Note: The accuracy of this method depends upon the size of area units considered. If the length of the side of the area unit is less than the $\frac{1}{3}$ rd the depth at which the stress is required, the error involved in this analysis is less than 3% only.

3) Trapezoidal method / Load strip method:

- It involves assumption that the stresses get distributed uniformly on to areas the edges of which are obtained by taking the angle of distribution at 1 vertical to n horizontal.
- Generally, 2V:1H spread is considered below the loaded area.



1) for rectangular area:

$$\tau_z = \frac{q(BL)}{(B+2nz)(L+2nz)}$$

2) for square area:

$$\tau_z = \frac{q \cdot B^2}{(B+2nz)^2}$$

3) for strip load:


$$\tau_z = \frac{q \cdot (BL)}{(B+2nz)(L+2nz)}$$

Since $L \gg B$, $L+2nz \approx L$.

$$\tau_z = \frac{qB}{B+2nz}$$

Problem-1:

Calculate the intensity of stress below the centre of footing at a depth 13m due to load of 1600 KN/m^2 over the footing area of $3.2 \text{ m} \times 6.5 \text{ m}$ by using equivalent load method.



- Q1: The radial distance of 'P' from the C.G. of divided rectangular areas.

$$r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = r_4 = \sqrt{(1.625)^2 + (0.8)^2} = 1.81$$

$$\text{and } z = 13 \text{ m}$$

Equivalent load on the C.G. of area 1,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \text{Area} \times q \\ &= (3.25 \times 1.6) \times 1600 \\ &= 8320 \text{ KN} \end{aligned}$$

using Boussinesq's equation

$$\sigma_{z1} = K_1 \cdot \frac{Q_1}{z^2}$$

$$\text{where } K_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{r}{z} \right)^2} \right]^{5/2}$$

$$= \frac{3}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{1.81}{13} \right)^2} \right]^{5/2}$$

$$= 0.455$$

$$\therefore \sigma_{z_1} = 0.455 \times \frac{8320}{(13)^2}$$

$$= 22.4 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

Total Stress due to all four smaller rectangles

$$\sigma_z = 4 \times \sigma_{z_1}$$

$$= 4 \times 22.4$$

$$= 89.6 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

using Westergaard's equation

$$\sigma_{z_1} = K_i \frac{\sigma}{z^2}$$

$$\text{where } K_i = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{1+2\left(\frac{r}{z}\right)^2} \right]^{3/2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{1+2\left(\frac{1.81}{13}\right)^2} \right]^{3/2}$$

$$= 0.300$$

$$\sigma_{z_1} = 0.300 \times \frac{8320}{(13)^2} = 14.77 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

$$\sigma_z = 4 \sigma_{z_1}$$

$$= 4 \times 14.77$$

$$= 59.077 \text{ KN/m}^2$$

